



International Journal of Mosquito Research

ISSN: 2348-5906
CODEN: IJMRK2
IJMR 2020; 7(6): 54-58
© 2020 IJMR
Received: 23-09-2020
Accepted: 27-10-2020

Sanjay Karlekar
Research cum Training Centre,
Public Health Department,
Matakachery, Shradhanandpeth,
Near Diksha Bhumi, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

Raymond Andrew
Post Graduate, Department of
Zoology, Hislop College, Civil
lines, Nagpur, Maharashtra,
India

Mukund Deshpande
Assistant Director, Public
Health Department, Arogya
Bhavan, Vishrantwadi, Pune,
Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Sanjay Karlekar
Research cum Training Centre,
Public Health Department,
Matakachery, Shradhanandpeth,
Near Diksha Bhumi, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

Culex katezari, A new species of mimeticus mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) from the forest of Gadchiroli region of central India

Sanjay Karlekar, Raymond Andrew and Mukund Deshpande

Abstract

The *Mimeticus* subgroup of *Culex* is well distinguished by the presence of pale spots on the wings. In this study the presence of pale spots on newly found mosquito was compared morphologically and genetic confirmation was made by insect 16SrRNA gene sequencing by BLAST with all the species belongs to mimeticus subgroup of *Culex*, i.e. *C. mimeticus*, *C. mimulus*, *C. mimuloides*, *C. fuscifurcatus*, *C. orientalis*, *C. jacksoni*, *C. diagensis*, *C. fasyi*, *C. confuses*, *C. suborientalis*, *C. solitarius*, *C. propinquus*, *C. neomimulus*, *C. mureli*, *C. kangi*, *C. tsengi*, and *C. tianpingensis*. The result reveals that the species is newly identified. The species was from the Katezari village of Gadchiroli district of Maharashtra state, and has been named accordingly.

Keywords: *Mimeticus*, 16SrRNA gene, BLAST and *Culex katezari*

1. Introduction

Among all insects, diversity of mosquitoes is of greater importance in terms of public health. These highly adaptable insects continue to coexist with man and transmit diseases to more than 700 million people annually [1-2]. Because of their medical importance, it is essential to make an inventory to upgrade the status of the diversity and behavioral resilience at different geographical loci [3-4]. Barraud (1934) stated that "many mosquito species await discovery in India," and the number of new mosquito records gradually increased mostly from the north eastern region of India [5]. According to the most recent classification of mosquitoes, the family Culicidae includes two subfamilies, 11 tribes, 113 genera and 3583 species in the world [6]. The genus *Culex* is not only one of the most dominant in terms of number of species and individuals but is also one of the most complex and difficult genera among the mosquitoes of Southeast Asia [5]. The *Mimeticus* subgroup of *Culex* is characterized by their spotted wings. The species of the subgroup are likely to be encountered along the foothill of villages [7]. The *Mimeticus* subgroup was originally established by Edwards to include seven species: *mimeticus*, *mimulus*, *mimuloides*, *fuscifurcatus*, *orientalis*, *jacksoni* and *diagensis* were based particularly on the character of the pale spotting of the wings [8]. Later, three forms; *fasyi*, *confuses* and *suborientalis* were added from the Philippines [9], while in 1938, *C. solitarius* was described by Bonne-Wepster from Netherland [10]. Colless (1955) [11] described a new species under the mimeticus group *C. propinquus* from Malaya. Lien (1968) [12] described four more new species; *neomimulus*, *mureli*, *kangi* and *tsengi* from Taiwan later on Chen (1981) [13] described *C. tianpingensis* from Taiwan, thus bringing the total to 17 species in this subgroup.

2. Material and Methods

A regular entomological surveillance was undertaken by zonal entomology team of the office of Assistant Director Health Services (Malaria) Nagpur, to evaluate the mosquito density and vector species status in the forest of Gadchiroli region of central India. Under this survey, mosquitoes were also collected from the outskirts of Katezari village located in the forest of Gadchiroli district. Adults and immature forms were collected from and around stagnant very slow flowing ditches rich in organic matter. Immature stages of mosquitoes were collected from the ditches with decaying plant leaves and other organic matters by dipping methods and adult mosquitoes were collected using aspirator and flash light from both indoor and outdoor

resting habitats during morning hours (06:00–08:00) as per WHO guidelines [14]. The collected larvae were reared in the laboratory for the emergence of adult. Standard taxonomic keys and catalogues were used to identify the emerged mosquitoes [8-13]. For the unidentified specimens, the right wings of five female mosquitoes were treated to micro technique procedures to make a permanent *in situ* mount. The pale spots on the right wing of female specimens were studied by sketching Camera Lucida diagram and by photomicrography. The different pattern of pale spots of known species were compared and analyzed to confirm the status of the species. The conformation of the new mosquito species was undertaken by one of the authors (MMD) at the Department of Health Services, Office of the Assistant Director Health Services (M) Pune.

3. Observation and Discussion

3.1 Material

The holotype and male paratype are deposited in 70% alcohol

at the Research cum Training Center of Filaria (National Vector Borne Disease Control Program), Public Health Department, Maharashtra state, Nagpur. The generalized pattern of pale spots on the wings of *Mimeticus* mosquito females on each position of the wing venation 1-20a is followed as per mosquito taxonomists [6-11]. The specimens were zoomed in SZX16 Olympus stereo microscope and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera. All the images were processed by CorelDraw 12.

3.2 Etymology

The species is named after the Village Katezari from where it was collected.

3.3 *Culex katezari*

Holotype female ♀: India, Maharashtra State, Gadchiroli District, village Katezari (20.10° N, 80.0° E). (Fig 1 and 2)

Paratype male ♂: India, Maharashtra State, Gadchiroli District (20.10° N, 80.0° E) (Fig 3).




Fig 1: *Culex katezari* (Fonalo A. Lateral view (Captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera) B. Lateral view (zoomed in SZX 16 Olympus and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera) C. Dorsal view (Captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera) D. Dorsal view (zoomed in SZX16 Olympus and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera)




Fig 2: *Culex katezari* (Female) A. Ventral view (zoomed in SZX16 Olympus and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera) B. Wing (zoomed in SZX16 Olympus and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera)



Fig 3: *Culex katezari* (Male) A. Dorsal view (Captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera) B. Head (zoomed in SD (16 Olympus and captured by Canon IXUS 132 14.2MP point and shoot camera)




Fig 4: Generalized position of pale spots on wing veins in the eufee numetteus subgroup of species.




Fig 5: Position of pale spots on wing veins of *Culex katezari*.

```

>R4_INSECT16
GAACATGTCCTTTTGAANAATAAATTAAGGCTAACCTGCCACTGATAAAAAATTAAG
GGCCGCAGTATTTGACTGTCCGAAGGTACATAAICACTAGTCCTTAAATGGAGCC
TTGATGAAATGGTGAATGAGATATACTGTCCTTTTAAAAATAAATAGAATTTAATT
TTTAAATCAAAAAGTTAAAAATAAAATTAAGGACGAGAAGACCCCTATAGATCTTTAATT
TGTATTTATAAATAAAAAGAAATTTAAAAATTAATAATTAATAAAAAATTTAATGGG
GTGATGATAAAAATTAAAAACCTTTAAAAATTTAATACATAAATAATGAATAAATGA
TCCAGTTTATGATTAAAAAATAAAGTTACCTTAGG

>R3_INSECT16_ONLYF
CATTTATTCATATATTAATGTTAATAAATTTAAAAAGTTTTTAAATTTAAATATCACCC
AAATAAATTTTAAATTAATAATTAATAAATTTAAATTTAAATTTAAATTAATAAATAA
TAAAGATCTATAGGGTCTTCCTGTCCTTTAAATTTAATTTAACTTTTGTATAAAAATA
AAATCTATTAATTTAAAAAGACAGTATAATCTCATCAACCATTATACAAGCCT
CCAATTAAGAGACTAGTGATTAATGCTACCTTCGCACAGTCAAAATACTGGGCCCTTT
AATTTTATCAGTGGGCAGGTAGACTTTAAATTTAATTTCAAAAAGACATGTTTT
    
```

Fig 6: Insect I6SrRNA gene sequencing. By BLAST 3.4 Wings of *Culex katezari* (female)

The generalized pattern of pale spots on the wings of *Mimeticus* mosquito females on each position of the wing venation 1-20a is categorized and described by various mosquito taxonomists [6-11]. There are three pale spots (C1, R (2), Cu (3) on humeral area, seven pale spots (C (4), Sc (5), R1 (6), R2 (7), M (8), Cu (9), An (10) on sectoral area, eight pale spots (C (11), R1 (12), R2-R3 (13), R4+5 (14), M1-M2 (15), Cu1 (16), Cu2 (17), Cu2 (17a) on subcostal area, four pale spots (C (18), R1 (19), R2 (20), R2 (20a)) on apical area (Fig. 4).

In *Culex katezari* the wing shows presence of pale spots Cu 3 on Humeral area, C (4), Sc (5), R1 (6), Cu (9), An (10) on sectoral area, C (11), R1 (12), R2-R3 (13), M1-M2 (15), Cu1 (16), Cu (17a) on subcostal area and C (18), R1 (19), R2 (20) on apical area (Fig. 5). The genetic data obtained by insect

16SrRNA gene sequencing (By BLAST) is summarized (Fig. 6). Pale spotting pattern in the humeral and sectoral areas were excellent characters for diagnosis of Mimeticus subgroup [15]. The extent of the pale spots on the wings of all the mimeticus group of *Culex*, i.e. *C. mimeticus*, *C. mimulus*, *C. mimuloides*, *C. fuscifurcatus*, *C. orientalis*, *C. jacksoni*, *C. diengensis*, *C. fasyi*, *C. confuses*, *C. suborientalis*, *C. solitarius*, *C. propinquus*, *C. neomimulus*, *C. mureli*, *C. kang*, *C. tsengi*, and *C. tianpingensis*, was compared with the new species. The results of the pale spots on each position 1-20a, as shown in schematic diagram are summarized, as described by various workers [8-17].

The differentiation of the wing spots of *Culex katezari* and the known species of mimeticus subgroup is described below (Table 1):-

Culex mimeticus: - Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), and Cu (9), position.

***Culex mimulus* [*Culex confuses* [18]]**: - Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on M (8), R 4-5 (14), and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R2-3 (13) and Cu (17a), position.

Culex mimuloides:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6) and Cu (9) position.

***Culex jacksoni* [*Culex fuscifurcatus* [5]]**: - Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), Cu (9), R1 (12), R2-3 (13), M1-2 (15) and C (18) position.

Culex orientalis: - Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on C (1), R (2), M (8), R4-5 (14), Cu2 (17) position and absence on C (18) position.

Culex diengensis:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), Cu (9) position.

Culex fasyi:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the

presence of pale spot on R4-5 (14), R2 (20a) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), C (4), R1 (6), Cu (9), C (11), R 1 (12) and M1-2 (15) position.

Culex suborientalis:- No evidence available (nomen dubium) [18].

Culex solitaries:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), CU (9) position.

Culex propinquus:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on Rs (7), M (8), two breaks on Cu 9, An 10, R4-5 (14), four breaks on Cu2 (17) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), M1-M2 (15), Cu2 (17a), R2 (20) position.

Culex neomimulus: - Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on Rs (7), M (8), R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), Cu (9) and Cu (17a) position.

Culex mureli:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), Cu (9) and M1-M2 (15) position.

Culex kang:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14), R2 (20a) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), Cu (9) and Cu2 (17a) position.

Culex tsengi:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R4-5 (14) and absence of pale spots on Cu (3), R1 (6), Cu (9), Cu2 (17a), C (18), R1 (19), R2 (20) position.

Culex tianpingensis:- Differentiates with *Culex katezari* by the presence of pale spots on R (2), Rs (7), M (8), R4-5 (14), Cu2 (17) and absence of pale spot on Cu (9) position. The mimeticus subgroup of genus *Culex* is characterized by their spotted wings. The wing spot pattern of *Culex katezari* is so dissimilar from other mimeticus mosquitoes that there seems no doubt that *Culex katezari* is a distinct species of the mimeticus subgroup.

Table 1: Comparative account of the wing spot of *Culex katezari* with the known species of mimeticus subgroup. (* Indicates position of pale spot).

Species of culex mimeticus subgroup	Position of pale spots on wing venation																					
	Humeral area			Sectoral area							Subcostal area							Apical area				
	C (1)	R (2)	Cu (3)	C (4)	Sc (5)	R1 (6)	Rs (7)	M (8)	Cu (9)	An (10)	C (11)	R1 (12)	R2-R3 (13)	R4-5 (14)	M1-M2 (15)	Cu1 (16)	Cu2 (17)	Cu (17a)	C (18)	R1 (19)	R2 (20)	R2 (20a)
<i>C. mimeticus</i>				*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. mimulus (confusus)</i>				*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. mimuloides</i>				*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. jacksoni (C. fuscifurcatus)</i>				*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. orientalis</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. diengensis</i>				*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. fasyi</i>					*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. solitarius</i>				*	*				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. propinquus</i>				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. neomimulus</i>				*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. mureli</i>				*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. kang</i>				*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. tsengi</i>				*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. tianpingensis</i>		*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>C. katezari</i>			*	*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

4. Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Dr. Sudeep Anakkathil, Scientist E, National Institute of Virology Pune, for the help in genetic level evaluation.

5. References

1. Gadgil M. Documenting diversity: An experiment. Curr.

Sci 1996;70:36-34.
 2. Taubes G. A mosquito bites back. New York Times Magazine 1997;24:40-46.
 3. Karlekar S, Andrew R. Mosquito diversity and vector species status in and around Nagpur city of Maharashtra state. Int. J. Mosquito Res 2015;2(2):19-23.
 4. Karlekar S, Andrew R. Behavioral resilience of *Culex*

- quinquefasciatus* Say 1823 at Nagpur district of Maharashtra. Int. J Mosquito Res 2016;3(5):25-30.
5. Sirivanakarn S. Medical Entomology Studies-III. A revision of the subgenus *Culex* in the Oriental region. Contrib. Amer. Ent. Inst 1976;12:1-272.
 6. Harbach RE. Mosquito Taxonomic Inventory. Available at: <http://mosquito-taxonomic-inventory.info> 2020
 7. Reuben R, Tewari SC, Hiriyani J, Akiyama J. Illustrated Keys To Species Of *Culex*(*Culex*) associated with Japanese Encephalitis In Southeast Asia (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito systematic 1994;26(2):75-96.
 8. Barraud PJ. The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma volume V. Family Culicidae, tribes Megarhinini and Culicini London, United Kingdom 1934,395-425.
 9. Baisas FE. Notes on Phi;ippine mosquitoes, VII. A. *Culex* (*Culex*) with banded proboscis and tarsi. Monthly Bull. Bur. Hlth. Manila 1938;18(15):175-232.
 10. Bonne-Wepster J. Notes on Mosquito from the Netherlands Indies, Med. D.V.G. NXVII3 1938,394-396.
 11. Colless DH. Notes on the culicine mosquitoes of Singapore. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit 1955;49:311-319.
 12. Lien JC. New species of mosquitoes from Taiwan (Diptera: Culicidae) Part V. Trop. Med. Nagasaki Japan 1968;10(4):217-262.
 13. Chen HB. A catalog of the mosquito of the world.in google, 1981. Available at: China-http://zipcodezoo.com/Animal/C/Culex_tianpingensis.
 14. World Health Organization. Manual on practical entomology in malaria vector bionomics and organization of antimalaria activities Part I and part II. J Ins. Sci 1970;13:1536-2442.
 15. Matsuo KI, Kunou Ito S, Lien JC. Studies of the mimeticus group. First report Jap. J. Sanit. Zool 1973a;23(4):324.
 16. Matsuo KI, Kunou Ito S, Lien JC. Studies of the mimeticus group. Second report Jap. J Sanit. Zool 1973b;23(4):285.
 17. Matsuo KI, Kunou S, Lien JC. Morphological studies of the mimeticus group of genus *Culex*. Jap. J Sanit. Zool 1974;25(1):51-56.
 18. Sirivanakarn S. Current Study of Genus *Culex* in Southeast Asia, South east Asia Mosquito Project Department of Entomology, Smithsonian Institution. Washington, D. C. 1970,205-220.
 19. Delfinado MD. A revision of the Culicine mosquitoes of the Philippines 1966,66-69.